Flat muscular stomachs, headless wet torsos, crossed legs, long hair, floating hands, breasts, and buttocks all printed on foamcore hang from a gallery’s ceiling. These body parts are interrupted by illustrations of pineapples, palm trees and leaves, orchids and hibiscus that are printed on the back of the pieces of foamcore. For #dominicanwomengooglesearch (2016), first installed at the Wave Hill Project Space in the Bronx, New York, artist Joiri Minaya used images found during a Google search for “Dominican women.” Amateur photographs that she identified as “representations that are exotic and obedient to foreign fantasies, yet seemingly assertive and self-confident” were chosen from the search results. From these low-resolution images Minaya digitally excised specific body parts using Photoshop, later blowing them up so that she could print them at human scale. In the installation, one sees printed images of various female body parts hanging from the ceiling; on the verso appear colorful, tropical flower-patterned fabrics.

The work’s appeal resides in its play between the indexical and the phantasmagoric qualities of digital images—and of the female bodies depicted in them. While the cutouts are printed at human scale, Minaya retains the digital pixilation that results from their enlargement, reminding viewers that these low-quality images made for screens. Their silhouette-like appearance nevertheless lifts them temporarily out of cyberspace, and even as fragments, the images achieve a bodily presence: they become sculptural objects that relate to the space around them. As visitors move through the installation, they mingle among and bump into the silhouettes, engaging in a physical interaction. The installation gives the impression of walking into a children’s paper doll book where one could print them at human scale. In the installation, one sees printed images of various female body parts hanging from the ceiling; on the verso appear colorful, tropical flower-patterned fabrics.

The manner by which Minaya collected her images also calls into question their reliability as visual documents. To date, no Google search can certify whether the women selected by Minaya from the image-search results are or are not Dominican. A blind spot persists between data available through Google about these women’s images and their actual geographical locations or social conditions. The “automatization” of programming methods such as algorithms and the “neutrality” enacted by search engines’ interfaces can mislead users into thinking the results of an image search are unmediated, randomized, or even serendipitous. But Minaya reveals that those images belong to an intricate system that manufactures the category “Dominican women.”

If one follows Minaya’s cue and searches for “Dominican women” on Google, the results look like a tableau of photographs of available women: an album of “real women” who inhabit “real” spaces. Most women in the photographs are brown or black skinned. Their assertive gazes might suggest empowerment, but numerous images belong to “dating websites” and follow a visual standardization that discloses their staging and production: the dating website “mydominicanlove.com,” for instance, features images of women striking poses (similar to those seen in Minaya’s work) and...
looking directly at the camera. Some wear swimsuits and reside in domestic settings, such as living rooms or bedrooms. Labels showing their names and ages appear below image thumbnails. An additional appended item to these women is the tagging provided by Google that comes along with image-search results: words such as “hotty,” “hot,” and “voluptuous” are among the first to appear on the horizontal list of Google tags just above the tableau of pictures.

Minaya has stated in an interview that it would be a different scenario if these images were selfies, which would perhaps convey a sense of their self-empowerment; instead, what one sees are websites and a great number of images that operate within a sex industry. Adult prostitution is legal in the Dominican Republic, and the country has instituted legislation that seeks to protect sex workers’ rights. Nonetheless, what one sees after a Google search is not the self-representation of women seeking a date or of sex-workers but a seemingly neutral visual arrangement of women’s bodies in cyberspace that is directly complicit with a very real capitalization of their bodies. 8

As Steven Gregory’s research reveals, over the past three decades the Dominican economy has shifted from domestic agricultural production and manufacturing to “tourism and the labor-intensive processing of exports in free trade zones.”9 These new industries rely heavily on the exploitation of women’s labor, which has exacerbated sexual abuse in local companies with a high percentage of women employees. These transformations to the economy coincide with the growth of US-based sex tourism websites that promote themselves through photography and video. The cyber-world of dating websites and tourist-driven advertising campaigns exaggerates and abets human transactions that occur in the real world. Minaya’s installation makes this codependence explicit by offering an encounter with phantasmal sirens that entice viewers’ touch.10 By reusing digital sources that implicitly refer to this sex industry in the Dominican Republic, Minaya’s work questions political and racial relations that are centuries old, rooted in colonial relationships but also present in neocolonial US-Dominican Republic exchanges: discourses on the raced female body that predate the Internet and hover above Dominican women, as well as above Latinas and Latin American women.11

From Brazilian star Carmen Miranda’s fruit hats to images of women in tiny swimsuits strolling along white sand beaches in high-end resorts, the “tropical” as a Western construct continues to intertwine the natural landscape with the female
body. The history of image production in the Americas, and especially in Latin America and the Caribbean—exemplified by sixteenth-century colonial explorers’ meticulous engravings and nineteenth-century ethnographic photographs—has molded the ways in which these regions have been both feared and desired by the West. Human bodies and nature were the first elements upon which the colonial project fixed its gaze. Knowledge had to be produced about this alien world, a type of knowledge shaped by an eagerness to catalogue, categorize, archive, and then finally pass moral judgment on all that appeared to the positivist eye. The colonizer, the modern imperialist, and now the neoliberal entrepreneur continue this perversive legacy of coupling women with nature.

Black and brown female bodies throughout Latin America and the Caribbean have played a primary role in nourishing the imaginations of tourists, from the industry’s origins in the nineteenth century to our global times. As a phantom of the colonial project, the idea of the “tropical” serves as a protective screen through which tourists enter a realm of pleasure achieved by being in touch with racial otherness. The tropical fabrics in Minaya’s work operate as tourist-luring camouflage and interpolate race and nature, speaking to the Dominican Republic’s colonial past and to the secrecy embedded in the formation of race and cultural difference throughout Latin America: the tropical becomes a second skin attached to the women’s fragmented images.12

In Minaya’s work the tropical “skin” is re-signified through a cyber-touristic imaginary—an additional appendage to those women’s images. As Jennifer González reminds us, it is through the skin, that the drama of race has been enacted in the quotidian of colonial societies. Racial schemas participate in a system of “common knowledge” that masks “not only individuals as individuals but also their real and imagined historical conditions.” Minaya’s tropical patterns, enveloping raced bodies, enact the ambivalent hide-and-seek stereotyping game—of fear and desire—that historically has both empowered and defied racial difference.13

The visuality of “tropicalness” becomes an additional sign to entice one’s imagination, a marker of mystery carved into the appended subject’s (online or offline) skin, a coating to be peeled off from images only to reveal an empty shell, barren of meaning, a convenient void for the continuation of the universalizing gaze and its nefarious capitalizations. Minaya collects bodies from the Internet to then refabricate the virtual forms of scopic power that had been appended to them. —TATIANE SANTA ROSA
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6 The appended subject “describes an object constituted by electronic elements serving as a psychic or bodily appendage, an artificial subjectivity that is attached to a supposed original or unitary being, an online persona understood as somehow appended to a real person who resides elsewhere, in front of a keyboard.” Jennifer González, “The Appended Subject: Race and Identity as Digital Assemblage,” in Race in Cyberspace (New York: Routledge, 2000), 27–50.
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